



OVERVIEW

- We propose a probabilistic framework (ME-NODE), incorporating (fixed + random) mixed effect in ODE, to appropriately model the variability of panel data.
- Our model can be considered as a smooth approximation of SDE, but the underlying neural network can be trained efficiently using ODE solvers.
- For training the model, we derive the new Evidence Lower Bound loss for our ME-NODE model.
- We show applications in different dynamical/temporal settings including longitudinal brain image analysis.

MOTIVATION

- Panel data involves longitudinal measurements of the same set of participants, which requires not only modeling the temporal dynamics, but also account for *variability within and across individuals*.
- ♦ Two ways to model dynamical systems:

Types	Speed	Libraries	Uncertainty
SDE	X		
ODE			X

To capture some of the characteristics of panel data, literature incorporates white noise type functions in differential equation models, leading to various forms of stochastic differential equation (SDE):

 $z_t = f_\mu(z,t)dt + L_\Sigma(z,t) \circ d\beta(t).$ (1)

- Recent work shows interfacing deep methods with SDEs but SDE solvers are far more involved than ODEs.
- Is there a way to utilize ODE solvers, while preserving stochastic nature of Equation (1)? In special cases, yes!

A Variational Approximation for Analyzing the Dynamics of Panel data

JURIJS NAZAROVS¹, RUDRASIS CHAKRABORTY², SONGWONG TASNEEYAPANT¹, SATHYA N. RAVI³, VIKAS SINGH¹

¹ University of Wisconsin - Madison, USA ² Amazon Lab 126, USA ³ University of Illinois at Chicago, USA



Smooth Approximations of Stratonovich SDE

Benefits of smooth approximation: (a) models uncertainty like SDE, but (b) need a ODE solver and the associated computational benefits.

♦ Final model

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma), \text{ where } \mu, \sigma = E(\boldsymbol{x}^i) \\ \boldsymbol{w}^i &= \boldsymbol{\beta} + b^i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \Sigma_b) \\ \dot{z}_t^i &= \Gamma\left(z_t^i\right) \boldsymbol{w}^i \\ \boldsymbol{x}_t^i &= D(z_t^i) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \end{aligned}$$

Research supported in part by by NIH grants RF1 AG059312 and RF1 AG062336. SNR was supported by UIC start-up funds.

Loss: given the nature of constructed trajectories, ME-NODE results in a special parameterization of approximate posterior (and prior):

$$q(\boldsymbol{z}, \mathbf{w}) = 1_{\boldsymbol{z}^{\text{obs}}} \{ \boldsymbol{z}_{-0} | z_0, \mathbf{w} \} q(z_0) q(\mathbf{w})$$

The final loss takes the form:

$$\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} \left(\log p\left(x | \boldsymbol{z}^s, \mathbf{w}^s \right) - \log \frac{q(z_0^s) q(\mathbf{w}^s)}{p(z_0^s) p(\mathbf{w}^s)} \right),$$

where $S = \{ \forall s \in S : 1_{z_{-0}^{obs}} \{ z_{-0} | z_0^s, \mathbf{w}^s \} = 1 \}.$

- ♦ Efficient sampling: approximating 1_{z^{obs}₋₀} {z₋₀ |z₀, w}.
 ABC approximation of 1_y {z} as 1_{A_{e,y}} {z}
- ♦ Personalized prediction: utilize observed data to select personal mixed effect \implies better extrapolation.





37th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence July 27-30, 2021

uai2021

DETAILED RESULTS correlation Mujoco: Model struclearns improvements between samples, ture SO interpolation extrapolation both and 1**n Rotation MNIST**: The role of dimensionality/size of *w* on results is sensible. For dataset with 8 possible angles. As dimension *m* grows we see improvements in MSE (left). The MSE remains stable for extrapolation steps (right).



Brain imaging: Experiments on two different types of data (image derived summaries and whole brain images) suggest viability of ME-NODE for modeling longitudinal 3D imaging data.

